**Peceived** CENTRAL PAX CENTER MAR 2 9 2007

Appl. No. 10/720,812 Amdt. Dated March 29, 2007 Reply to Office action of January 26, 2007

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application. No. :

10/720,812

Confirmation No. 5364

Applicant

Jung S. Kang

Filed

November 24, 2003

TC/A.U.

2813

Examiner

James M. Mitchell

Docket No.

042390.17606

Customer No.

8791

Commissioner for Patents PO Box 1450 Alexandria VA 22313-1450

## RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Sir:

In the Office Action mailed January 26, 2007, the Examiner states that the application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: i) an inductor; ii) a resistor; and iii) a capacitor. The Examiner contends that the species are independent or distinct because they are mutually exclusive. Thus, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 121, the Examiner requires Applicant to restrict the application to one of the three species. Applicant respectfully traverse the restriction requirements and submit that an inductor, a resistor, and a capacitor, all are considered as passive elements.

However, in compliance with 35 U.S.C. §121, Applicant elects claims 9-24, toward an inductor.

TVN/tn